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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a class of generalized nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equations of the Hunter-Saxton-Calogero type, which arise in the theory of control of liquid crystals and in the control of unsteady gas flows. We found such conditions that the original equation can be reduced to linear one by contact transformations. The general exact multivalued solutions of the Hunter-Saxton-Calogero equation are found. The obtained solutions are visualized.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider the generalized nonlinear second-order Hunter-Saxton-Calogero partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t x}=u u_{x x}+G\left(u_{x}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(t, x)$ is an unknown function, $t$ and $x$ are the time and the spatial coordinates, respectively.

Such equations with $G\left(u_{x}\right)=\kappa u_{x}^{2}$ and $k=\frac{1}{2}$ arise in the theory of nematic liquid crystals. If, initially, all molecules of a liquid crystal are aligned, then some of them will shift slightly and disorientation will spread throughout the crystal. In this case, the function $u(t, x)$ describes the propagation of weak linear orientation waves in the nematic liquid crystal [1].

The equation with $\kappa \neq \frac{1}{2}$ is used in hydrodynamics [2], in the geometry of Einstein-Weyl spaces [3]. The contact equivalence of equation (1.1) and the Euler-Poisson equation was established for $G\left(u_{x}\right)=\kappa u_{x}^{2}$ in [4]. Calogero [5], while studying waves in shallow water, found a complex solution of equation (1.1).

In this article we present conditions, under which nonlinear equation (1.1) is equivalent to a linear equation with respect to a pseudo-group of contact transformations. This allows us to construct its exact multivalued solutions. These solutions can be used to control the propagation of orientation waves in a nematic crystal.

This paper continues the series of articles [6-9] on the application of geometric theory of nonlinear differential equations to constructing their exact solutions. We use the methods developed in [10-12].
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## 2. GEOMETRY OF THE GENERALIZED HUNTER-SAXTON-CALOGERO EQUATION

Let $J^{1}$ be the 1 -jet space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with two independent variables $t, x$ and let $t, x, u, p_{1}, p_{2}$ be the canonical coordinates on this space. The Cartan form

$$
\varkappa=d u-p_{1} d t-p_{2} d x
$$

defines a contact structure on $J^{1}$ (the so called Cartan distribution)

$$
\mathcal{C}: J^{1} \ni \theta \mapsto \mathcal{C}(\theta)=\operatorname{ker} \varkappa_{\theta} \subset T_{\theta} J^{1}
$$

The Cartan distribution $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by the vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+p_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+p_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A two-dimensional surface

$$
\Gamma_{v}^{1}=\left\{u=v(t, x), p_{1}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, p_{2}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right\} \subset J^{1}
$$

is called a 1 -graph of a function $v(t, x)$.
Let $\Omega^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ be the module of differential 2-forms on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. For an arbitrary differential 2form $\omega$ on $J^{1}$, we can construct the Lychagin differential operator $\Delta_{\omega}$, which acts by the following rule (see [13]):

$$
\Delta_{\omega}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \quad \Delta_{\omega}(v)=\left.\omega\right|_{\Gamma_{v}^{1}}
$$

Here $\left.\omega\right|_{\Gamma_{u}^{1}}$ is a restriction of $\omega$ to $\Gamma_{v}^{1}$. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\omega}(v)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a second-order differential equation of the Monge-Ampere class.
The restriction of $\omega$ to the surface $\Gamma_{v}^{1}$ vanishes if and only if the function $v$ is a solution of equation (2.3).

A surface $L \subset J^{1} \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is called a multivalued solution of equation (2.3) if $\left.\omega\right|_{L}=0$ and $x_{L}=0$.

Equation (1.1) belongs to the class of Monge-Ampere equations and, therefore, it can be associated with the differential 2-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=-2 G\left(p_{2}\right) d t \wedge d x+d t \wedge d p_{1}-d x \wedge d p_{2}-2 u d t \wedge d p_{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce a "non-holonomic symplectic structure" $\Omega \in \Omega^{2}(\mathcal{C})$ :

$$
\Omega=\left.d \varkappa\right|_{\mathcal{C}}
$$

Since the Cartan distribution is not completely integrable, this 2 -form is defined on vector fields that belong to $\mathcal{C}$ only. Differential form (2.4) is effective, i.e., $\left.\partial_{u}\right\rfloor \omega=0$ and $\omega \wedge \Omega=0$. Moreover, it is hyperbolic:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \wedge \omega+\Omega \wedge \Omega=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the linear operator $A_{\omega}: D(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{C})$ as follows:

$$
\left.\left.A_{\omega} X\right\rfloor \Omega=X\right\rfloor \omega
$$

where $D(\mathcal{C})$ is a module of vector fields that belong to the Cartan distribution $\mathcal{C}$. The operator $A_{\omega}$ has the following matrix representation in basis (2.2):

$$
A_{\omega}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 u & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -2 G\left(p_{2}\right) & 1 & 2 u \\
2 G\left(p_{2}\right) & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Its square is scalar: $A_{\omega}^{2}=1$, therefore, its eigenvalues are $\pm 1$. The eigenvectors define two 2-dimensional characteristic distributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{+} & =\left\{X_{+}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-u \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left(-p_{2} u+p_{1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+G\left(p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}}, Y_{+}=G\left(p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}\right\}, \\
C_{-} & =\left\{X_{-}=-u \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-u p_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+G\left(p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}}, Y_{-}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+p_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+G\left(p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The vector fields $X_{ \pm}, Y_{ \pm}$form a basis of the module $D\left(\mathcal{C}_{ \pm}\right)$. The first derivatives of distributions

$$
C_{ \pm}^{(1)}=\left\{X_{ \pm}, Y_{ \pm},\left[X_{ \pm}, Y_{ \pm}\right]\right\}
$$

are 3-dimensional. Therefore, in the 5 -dimensional space $J^{1}$, they intersect along a 1 dimensional distribution $l=C_{+}^{(1)} \cap C_{-}^{(1)}$, which is generated by the vector field

$$
Z=G\left(p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+G\left(p_{2}\right)\left(G^{\prime \prime}\left(p_{2}\right)-p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}
$$

At any point $a \in J^{1}$, the tangent space $T_{a} J^{1}$ can be decomposed into a direct sum

$$
T_{a} J^{1}=C_{+}(a) \oplus l(a) \oplus C_{-}(a)
$$

Denote the distributions $C_{+}, l$, and $C_{-}$as $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$, respectively. Let $D_{j}$ be the module of vector fields from the distribution $P_{j}$ and let $\mathbf{P}_{j}: D\left(J^{1}\right) \rightarrow D_{j}$ be projectors. Define the tensors $q_{j, k}^{s} \in \Omega^{2}\left(J^{1}\right) \otimes D\left(J^{1}\right)$ (see [12]):

$$
q_{j, k}^{s}(X, Y):=-\mathbf{P}_{s}\left[\mathbf{P}_{j} X, \mathbf{P}_{k} Y\right]
$$

where $j, k, s=1,2,3 ; s \neq j, k$, and skew contraction of two decomposable tensors $\alpha \otimes$ $X, \beta \otimes Y \in \Omega^{2}\left(J^{1}\right) \otimes D\left(J^{1}\right):$

$$
\langle\alpha \otimes X, \beta \otimes Y\rangle=(Y\rfloor \alpha) \wedge(X\rfloor \beta) .
$$

This definition is extended to the remaining tensors by linearity. Tensor invariants of equation (1.1) have the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{2,3}^{1}=\left(p_{2} d t \wedge d x+d t \wedge d u\right) \otimes\left(G\left(p_{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}-G\left(p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+G\left(p_{2}\right) p_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \\
& q_{1,2}^{3}=\left(p_{2} d t \wedge d x-d t \wedge d u+p_{1} d t \wedge d p_{2}+p_{2} d x \wedge d p_{2}-d u \wedge d p_{2}\right) \\
& \otimes\left(-\left(G^{\prime \prime}\left(p_{2}\right)-2\right)\left(G\left(p_{2}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}\right) \\
& q_{1,1}^{2}=\left(G\left(p_{2}\right) d t \wedge d x+u d t \wedge d p_{2}+d x \wedge d p_{2}\right) \otimes\left(-G\left(p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\left(G^{\prime}\left(p_{2}\right)-p_{2}\right) G\left(p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}\right) \\
& q_{3,3}^{2}=\left(\left(G^{\prime}\left(p_{2}\right) p_{2}-p_{2}^{2}-G\left(p_{2}\right)\right) d t \wedge d x+\left(-G^{\prime}\left(p_{2}\right)+p_{2}\right) d t \wedge d u+d t \wedge d p_{1}-u d t \wedge d p_{2}\right) \\
& \otimes\left(G\left(p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}-\left(G^{\prime}\left(p_{2}\right)-p_{2}\right) G\left(p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The invariant Laplace forms for equation (1.1) are

$$
\lambda_{+}=\left\langle q_{1,1}^{2}, q_{2,3}^{1}\right\rangle=-d t \wedge d p_{2}, \quad \lambda_{-}=\left\langle q_{3,3}^{2}, q_{1,2}^{3}\right\rangle=-\left(G^{\prime \prime}\left(p_{2}\right)-2\right) d t \wedge d p_{2} .
$$

Equation (1.1) satisfies the conditions of contact linearization

$$
\lambda_{-}=0, \lambda_{+} \wedge \lambda_{+}=0, d \lambda_{+}=0
$$

if and only if the function $G\left(p_{2}\right)$ has the form

$$
G\left(p_{2}\right)=p_{2}^{2}+2 k_{1} p_{2}+k_{0},
$$

where $k_{0}, k_{1}$ are arbitrary constants. Then equation (1.1) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t x}-u u_{x x}-2 k_{1} u_{x}-u_{x}^{2}-k_{0}=0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us construct a linearizing contact transformation. Equation (2.6) corresponds to the differential 2-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=-2\left(u_{x}^{2}+2 k_{1} u_{x}+k_{0}\right) d t \wedge d x+d t \wedge d u_{t}-2 u d t \wedge d u_{x}-d x \wedge d u_{x} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply the partial Legendre transform to this 2-form:

$$
\Phi:\left(t, x, u, p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(t,-p_{2},-x p_{2}+u, p_{1}, x\right) .
$$

Applying this transformation to differential form (2.7), we obtain a new form
$\omega_{1}=\Phi^{*}(\omega)=\left(2 x p_{2}-2 u\right) d t \wedge d x+d t \wedge d p_{1}+\left(2 x^{2}+4 k_{1} x+2 k_{0}\right) d t \wedge d p_{2}-d x \wedge d p_{2}$,
which corresponds to the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t x}+\left(x^{2}+k_{1} x+k_{0}\right) u_{x x}+x u_{x}-u=0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.8) can be solved by cascade integration method:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u(t, x)=e^{k_{1} t}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} F_{1}(\tau) e^{-k_{1} \tau} \cosh \left((\tau-t) \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-k_{0}}-\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{x+k_{1}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-k_{0}}}\right)\right) d \tau+\right. \\
\left.+F_{2}\left(-t-\frac{\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{x+k_{1}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-k_{0}}}\right)}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-k_{0}}}\right)\right) \sqrt{\frac{2 k_{1} x+x^{2}+k_{0}}{k_{0}-k_{1}^{2}}}, \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

where $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are arbitrary functions.
Note that the Legendre transformation maps the multivalued solutions of equation (2.6) to the solutions of equation (2.8). But the inverse Legendre transformation maps classical solutions (2.9) to multivalued ones.

Apply the inverse transformation

$$
\Phi^{-1}:\left(t, x, u, p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(t, p_{2},-x p_{2}+u, p_{1},-x\right)
$$

to (2.9). Let us choose $t$ and $p_{2}$ as parameters $\beta, \alpha$, respectively. Then we get general multivalued solution of equation (2.6):

$$
L:\left\{\begin{aligned}
t= & \beta, \\
x= & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha k_{1}+k_{0}\right) \gamma}}\left(e ^ { k _ { 1 } \beta } \left(\left(\alpha+k_{1}\right)\left(\int_{\beta_{0}}^{\beta} F_{1}(\tau) e^{-k_{1} \tau} \cosh (\psi) d \tau\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\alpha+k_{1}\right) F_{2}(\eta)+\gamma\left(\int_{\beta_{0}}^{\beta} F_{1}(\tau) e^{-k_{1} \tau} \sinh (\psi) d \tau+F_{2}^{\prime}(\eta)\right)\right)\right), \\
u= & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha k_{1}+k_{0}\right) \gamma}}\left(\left(\left(\alpha k_{1}+k_{0}\right)\left(\int_{\beta_{0}}^{\beta} F_{1}(\tau) e^{-k_{1} \tau} \cosh (\psi) d \tau\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\alpha k_{1}+k_{0}\right) F_{2}(\eta)-\alpha\left(\gamma\left(\int_{\beta_{0}}^{\beta} F_{1}(\tau) e^{-k_{1} \tau} \sinh (\psi) d \tau\right)+F_{2}^{\prime}(\eta)\right)\right) e^{k_{1} \beta}\right), \\
u_{t}= & e^{k_{1} \beta} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha k_{1}+k_{0}}{-\gamma}}\left(-\gamma\left(\int_{\beta_{0}}^{\beta} F_{1}(\tau) e^{-k_{1} \tau} \sinh (\psi) d \tau\right)\right. \\
& \left.+k_{1}\left(\int_{\beta_{0}}^{\beta} F_{1}(\tau) e^{-k_{1} \tau} \cosh (\psi) d \tau\right)-F_{2}^{\prime}(\eta)+k_{1} F_{2}\right)+F_{1}(\beta), \\
u_{x}= & \alpha,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are arbitrary functions, $\alpha, \beta$ are parameters, $\gamma=\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-k_{0}}$,

$$
\eta=\left(-\frac{\beta \gamma+\operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{\alpha+k_{1}}{\gamma}\right)}{\gamma}\right), \psi=\left(-\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{\alpha+k_{1}}{\gamma}\right)+(\tau-\beta) \gamma\right)
$$

To show that $L$ is indeed a multivalued solution, it is enough to check that the restriction of the 2 -form $\omega$ to it vanishes.

## 3. VISUALIZATION

Let us consider an example of visualization for constructed solution. Let $k_{0}=2, k_{1}=0$. Choose the functions $F_{1}(\tau)=-\tau, F_{2}(\eta)=-\eta$. Then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t=\beta \\
x=\frac{\beta+(\arctan (\alpha) \alpha+1-\beta \alpha) \sqrt{\alpha^{2}+1}+\alpha^{2} \beta}{\alpha^{2}+1} \\
u=\frac{(\beta+\alpha-\arctan (\alpha)) \sqrt{\alpha^{2}+1}-\alpha^{2}-1}{\alpha^{2}+1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The graph of this solution is shown in Fig. 3.1. Solution graphs for other $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are presented in Fig. 3.2 and Fig. 3.3.


Fig. 3.1. Solution of equation (2.6) with $F_{1}(\tau)=-\tau, F_{2}(\eta)=-\eta$.


Fig. 3.2. Solution with $F_{1}(\tau)=\tau^{2}, F_{2}(\eta)=\eta^{2}$


Fig. 3.3. Solution with $F_{1}(\tau)=\tau^{3}, F_{2}(\eta)=\eta^{3}$
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